	Improvement Plan			Anticipated
Program Areas	*Req	uired	Strategy	Date of
	Yes	No		Completion
Safety:				
Recurrence of Maltreatment	Х		-Establish a standardized assessment tool/process for the hotline, train staff and implement -Establish a standardized assessment tool/process for the ER to ensure cases	12/05
			are receiving an appropriate disposition initially. Incorporate Linkages into assessment tool -Implement TDM for all removal decisions	12/05 11/04-
			- Ensure consistent use of family & community involvement in case planning by establishing an accountability process and training staff	Ongoing 8/05
			- Ensure FM workers make timely visits to children that were not removed by giving training & monitoring progress.	8/05
			- Develop community partnerships and ensure all families are appropriately assessed for substance abuse & linked to	6/05
			an array of services	9/05
			-Implement & enhance coordination w/Linkages Project -Establish Differential Response intake	9/06
			system w/ 3 response paths -Utilize existing networks of public, private, grassroots, natural/informal resources in communities where large numbers of families involved with CWS live	9/06
			Data reports and contact with county will be used to monitor progress.	
Abuse/Neglect in foster care	Х		-Increase the number child welfare workers consistently involving families, children, foster families and other partners in reunification case planning and service delivery and maintaining regular contact with families.	8/06
			-Implement icebreaker meetings where the child welfare worker, the birth family,	9/06

^{*}The "yes" in the required column represents measures that did not meet the PIP target. The January 2004 and October 2004 county data reports were used.

Timely ER Response (process measure)		X	the foster family and the child(ren) (when appropriate) meet to share informationImplement TDM for all removal decisionsEnsure consistent use of family & community involvement in case planning by establishing an accountability process and training staffMore consistently track social worker visits in CWS/CMSExplore encouraging meetings between workers when cases transfer from one unit to another.	Ongoing 8/05 Jan-Mar 05
• Social Worker Visits with child (process measure)	X		-San Francisco identifies data entry issues as the reason they appear out of compliance with SW visits. Before May 3, 2004, they did not require that face-to-face contacts be input into CWS/CMS. However, during Quality Assurance and supervisory reviews of paper case files, they found that they were not out of compliance with timely visits. Nevertheless, they want to make improvements and take the following actions included in their SIP: Systemic factor - Relevant Management Information SystemsMore consistently track social worker visits in CWS/CMS. Ensure FM and FR workers make timely, quality visits (allowing for exceptions) in cases where children remain in the home and for all families working toward reunificationThe practice is not consistent throughout the entire organization, and modeling, training and monitoring are needed.	Jan-Mar 05
*The "vee" in the requir			Define "Quality" visits and exemplary casework.	May-June 05

^{*}The "yes" in the required column represents measures that did not meet the PIP target. The January 2004 and October 2004 county data reports were used.

San Francisco County System Improvement Plan Approval Document

Received: October 1, 2004

			001VCd. 0010DCl 1, 2004	
			 Encourage home visits for families, the existing caseworker, and the new caseworker when cases transfer from one unit to another. Explore encouraging meetings between workers when cases transfer from one unit to another. Identify staff to provide training and modeling to others. Establish an accountability process. Report data on timely visits to the Mayor's office monthly. Consider building ongoing practice discussions and modeling into unit meetings of "special excellence sessions." Build in a clear role for supervisors and managers in accountability. Build clear expectations into performance reviews for staff. 	May-June 05 July-Aug 05
			Develop and conduct agency-wide practice enhancement training.	
Permanency				
Time to Reunification		Х		
Time to Adoption		X		
 Placement Stability 		X		
Foster Care re- entry	X		-Ensure FR workers involve families and community partners in case planning. Establish an accountability system & train staff -Utilize existing networks of public, private, grassroots, natural/informal resources in communities where large numbers of families involved with CWS	8/05 9/06
			live Ensure FR workers make timely visits to all families by establishing accountability	8/05 6/05-Ongoing

^{*}The "yes" in the required column represents measures that did not meet the PIP target. The January 2004 and October 2004 county data reports were used.

	1 1	CEIVEU. OCIODEI 1, 2004	1
		& training - Create a Parent Advisory Council - Ensure all families are appropriately assessed for substance abuse and linked to an array of services - Enhance coordination w/ Linkages Project -Work w/ DHS Housing & Homeless for affordable housing slots.	6/05 9/05 3/05
		Data reports and contact with county will be used to monitor progress.	
Well-Being			
Preserving family relationships			
Least Restrictive Placements			
ICWA Placements			
• ILP		-Increase the number of youth age 8- 18 in care that achieve permanency -Strengthen policy & practice provide training and work to make life long connections w/ youth and significant adults in their lives -Establish support/ wraparound/ consultation -Implement TDM and providing training -Ensure families & all partners are	9/05-Ongoing
		involved in case planning by establishing an accountability process & conducting agency-wide practice enhancement training	9/05
		-Develop an accurate statistical profile & a thorough assessment of services for the emancipated populationDevelop & implement a procedure to collect survey data each month as youth emancipate and integrate findings into existing communication and initiatives	8/05
		-Ensure youth age 14-18 are identified and actively involved in ILSP &	6/05

^{*}The "yes" in the required column represents measures that did not meet the PIP target. The January 2004 and October 2004 county data reports were used.

,	1
emancipation planning by establishing training, accountability tracking and	
implementing Emancipation Team	9/05
Meetings	
-Ensure active involvement of youth,	
foster parents, & relative caregivers in	
ILSP by conducting targeted outreach,	212
developing training, and implementing a	6/05
variety of family-and adult- focused	
events	0/05
-Work w/community partners to create a	9/05
support network for youth in transition	
and increase the number of youth served by ILSP aftercare	
-Work w/ DHS Housing & Homeless for	3/05
affordable housing slots to support &	3/03
stabilize emancipated youth by	
establishing an FCS Liaison and hold	
meetings for collaborations	
-Implement the Foster Youth Transition	
Project by conducting discussion groups,	6/06
administering a self-assessment tool and	
developing a multi-year plan to address	
challenges	
-Establish collaborations between DHS	
and School District staff to better track	
youth enrolled, develop models of	
educational support.	
-Disseminate documentation regarding	3/06
school suspension procedures to DHS	
staff and caregivers, and initiate	
collaborative trainings	
Data reports, Safe Measures and contact with	
county will be used to monitor progress.	

^{*}The "yes" in the required column represents measures that did not meet the PIP target. The January 2004 and October 2004 county data reports were used.

			Measurement Method	
Program Areas	*Required		Strategy	and/or Due
	Yes	No		Date
Systemic				
 Statewide Information System 				
 Case Review System 				
 Quality Assurance System 				
Training				
Service Array				
 Agency Responsiveness to the Community 				
 Foster and Adoptive Parent Licensing, Recruitment and Retention 				

Comments:

San Francisco County submitted a 3 Year SIP. This document only represents the strategies scheduled for implementation in Year 1 and Year 1/2. Strategies scheduled for implementation in Year 2 and Year 2/3 are not listed in this report.

^{*}The "yes" in the required column represents measures that did not meet the PIP target. The January 2004 and October 2004 county data reports were used.